

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/653,921	09/04/2003	Gary R. Pickrell	01640334AA	3781
30743	7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			CHIEM, DINH D	
11491 SUNS SUITE 340	SET HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	RESTON, VA 20190		2883	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amuliantam Na	Amplicantic				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmony	10/653,921	PICKRELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erin D. Chiem	2883				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 S</u>	September 2003.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/4/03</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the communication to Supervisory Primary

Examiner, Frank G. Font, regarding the typographical errors on the claim numbers. This action will now replace the first non-final rejection sent on March 11, 2005, and the statutory time period for reply will be reset. However, documents such as the Form USPTO-1449 and form USPTO-892 will not be included to ease the paper-processing burden.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art document submitted by Applicant in the Information Disclosure Statements filed on September 4, 2003, have been considered, and made of record. See form PTO-1449.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1, 2, 4-8, and 10-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brogardh et al. (US 4,569,570). Brogradh et al. teach an optical sensor comprising a body of monocrystalline material, referring to Fig. 2, a fiber optic element having an end surface, and bonded to the body of the crystalline material (Abstract) and a reflective surface positioned by the body of crystalline material at a location separated from the end surface of the fiber optic element to form a gap. Etching technology was employed to create the body of crystalline

Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

element to form a gap. Etching technology was employed to create the body of crystalline material in the form of a tube and provided the V-groove on the substantially planar surface, Fig. 3, where the fiber optic element is securely held in place. Regarding claims 2 and 10, the limitation of matching coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of the crystalline material and the fiber optic element is well-known in the art for advantages just as keeping alignment of the optical elements, resulting in a low loss medium, and the matched CTE is advantageous for not causing thermal stress amongst the optical elements. Refer to US Patent 4,722,586 as an exemplary reference.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brogardh et al. in view of Nelson (US4, 756,627).
- Brogardh et al. teach an optical sensor comprising a body of monocrystalline material, referring to Fig. 2, a fiber optic element having an end surface, and bonded to the body of the crystalline material (Abstract) and a reflective surface positioned by the body of crystalline material at a location separated from the end surface of the fiber optic element to form a gap. Etching technology was employed to create the body of crystalline material in the form of a tube and provided the V-groove on the substantially planar surface, Fig. 3, where the fiber optic

Application/Control Number: 10/653,921

Art Unit: 2883

element is securely held in place. But Brogardh et al. do not teach maximizing the difference of the CTE between the fiber optic element and the substrate.

- 6. Nelson teach the concept of detecting temperature by having a different CTE between the two adjacent elements (i.e., fiber optic element and the substrate) such that the thermal stress induced by the cause the change in refractive index causing a transfer of light energy incident and the direct change of refractive index due temperature change can be measured. Such method of sensing temperature change "--improve the sensitivity by a factor of 10,000—" (col. 4, line 22 51).
- 7. Since Brogardh et al. and Nelson are both from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by Nelson would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Brogardh et al.
- 8. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ photoelastic waveguides in which metal or dielectric material is deposited, at high temperature, on alternating substrate with crystalline material, on cooling, the differing of CTE result in stresses occurring in the substrate crystal, such that the waveguides, now, have zones of increased or decreased refractive index. The application of maximizing CTE between the optical elements such as those used in sensors can improve sensitivity to temperature change by a factor of 10, 000.
- 9. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brogardh et al. in view of Zuckerwar et al. (US 5,146,083).
- 10. Brogardh et al. teach an optical sensor comprising a body of monocrystalline material, referring to Fig. 2, a fiber optic element having an end surface, and bonded to the body of the crystalline material (Abstract) and a reflective surface positioned by the body of crystalline

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/653,921

Art Unit: 2883

material at a location separated from the end surface of the fiber optic element to form a gap.

Etching technology was employed to create the body of crystalline material in the form of a tube

and provided the V-groove on the substantially planar surface, Fig. 3, where the fiber optic

element is securely held in place. Furthermore, Brogardh et al. disclose a diaphragm (Fig. 2, 24),

but Brogardh et al. do not teach the sensor further includes a diaphragm having a reflective

surface.

11. Zuckerwar et al. disclose as prior art that in Iwamoto et al.'s patent (US 4,687,927) teach

a sensor in which light is transmitted through an optical fiber to an unstretched diaphragm having

a reflective surface for measuring pressure differential, caused by the surrounding fluid medium,

by detecting the light intensity reflected back from the reflective diaphragm.

12. Since Brogardh et al. and Zuckerwar et al. are both from the same field of endeavor; the

purpose disclosed by Zuckerwar et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of

Brogardh et al.

13. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

ordinary skill in the art to apply a thin film of reflective material to the diaphragm as a mean to

detect the pressure differential of the fluid in the surrounding medium to a sensor that would be

applicable to detect temperature or pressure in viscous fluid. The reflective film on the

diaphragm reflects light to a detector when pressure is applied to the diaphragm. By measure the

intensity of light reflecting back, one having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine

the pressure being applied.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/653,921

Art Unit: 2883

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Sun et al. and Elster et al. teach similar optical sensors which are employed to detect

temperature, pressure, and other physical parameters in harsh environment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin D. Chiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-3102. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Erin D Chiem Art Unit 2883

Frank L. Fort Examiner

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Page 6

**Technology Center 2800**